

**.CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/001385**

Appellant: Mr. Ram Briksh Yadav  
R/o. Swadhin Bharat Vidhik Satyagrah,  
Jawahar Bagh,  
Mathura, UP.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
Registrar,  
High Court of Judicature at Patna,  
Bihar

Date of Hearing: 21.10.2016  
Dated of Decision: 21.10.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 27.05.2015 seeking information regarding 'the attested photocopy of the Identity Card of the Sovereign Indian Ruler controlling law and order and action taken on his memorandum dated 31.12.2014.'

2. The CPIO responded on 22.06.2015. The appellant filed first appeal on 05.08.2015 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 04.09.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 28.01.2016 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The respondent participated in the hearing through video conferencing. The appellant did not participate in the hearing.

4. The respondent stated that appellant had neither properly addressed his RTI application nor had deposited the prescribed fees. Further, the

contents of his RTI application were so lengthy that it was not clear as to what type of information he has sought. The respondent stated that vide letter dated 22.6.2015 they had returned appellant's RTI application and advised the appellant to send proper application together with prescribed fee of Rs. 10/- so that his application could be processed.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant had filed another RTI application dated 26.6.2015 to which they had already replied. The respondent said that FAA, vide order dated 14.9.2015 stated that proper, complete and valid information has already been furnished to the appellant and dismissed his first appeal.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

7. Commission's intervention is not required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(S.C. Sharma)**  
**Dy. Registrar**