

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/RK/A/2016/001373/KY

Appellant : Shri Ram Avtar Singh
Gali No. 2, VaidikVihar, Mahendernagar
Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Textiles, O/o. the Development Commissioner (H),
West Block-7 Ram KrishanPuram, New Delhi-110066

Date of Hearing : 20.09.2016
Date of Decision : 20.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Yogendra Pandey, Deputy Director & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **10.12.2015**, the Appellant sought information on **5 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **18.12.2015**, **20.01.2016** & **07.04.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **29.01.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **19.02.2016**, directed CPIO to provide the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **01.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **10.12.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **five issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **18.12.2015**, **transferred** the appellant's RTI application to the concerned Public Authority for taking necessary action. Further, another CPIO vide his response dated **20.01.2016**, provided the uncertified information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **30.11.2015** before

the FAA, who vide his order dated **29.12.2015**, disposed of the FA by directing the CPIO to provide the certified copies to the appellant.

2. It is needless to mention here that incompliance of FAA's order dated 19.02.2016, the CPIO vide his subsequent response dated **07.04.2016**, provided the certified copies of the documents to the appellant as per his RTI application dated 10.12.2015.
3. The Commissioner **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **10.12.2015**, respondent's response dated **18.12.2015, 20.01.2016 & 07.04.2016**, FAA's order dated **29.12.2015**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
5. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o Textiles,
O/o the Development Commissioner (H),
West Block-7 Ram Krishan Puram, New Delhi-110066

Shri Ram Avtar Singh
Gali No. 2, Vaidik Vihar, Mahendernagar
Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh