

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001290

Appellant : Smt. Sangeeta Lambah  
141-B, DDA MIG (RED) Flat Rajori Garden  
New Delhi- 110027

Public Authority : The Asst. Director (LAB) H & PIO  
DDA, Lease Admn Branch, Vikas Sadan, INA,  
New Delhi- 110023

Date of Hearing : 05.10.2016

Date of Decision : 05.10.2016

## Presence:

Appellant : Absent  
CPIO : Shri Pramod Dayal, Asst. Director & PIO

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **17.03.2016**, (as per PIO reply) the Appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **13.04.2016**, reportedly not provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **NIL**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **18.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

## HEARING

**Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to her.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

During hearing of the case it is submitted by Shri Pramod Dayal, Asst. Director & PIO, that the captioned Second Appeal is based upon appellant's earlier RTI application dated **17.03.2016**. It is further submitted by Shri Pramod Dayal, Asst. Director & PIO, that this Hon. Commission has already taken up the appellant's earlier Second Appeal based on appellant's RTI application dated **17.03.2016** dealt in file no. **CIC/KY/A/2016/901214** on **23.09.2016** and passed it's speaking order on the same date.

2. The Commission has perused the nature of the issues as contained in appellant's present RTI application dated **17.03.2016** and appellant's earlier RTI application of same date and finds that both RTI applications are same. Not only this, the reply provided by the respondents, vide their same responses i.e. dated **13.04.2016**, are also same.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of case, the Commission feels that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to dispose of the appellant's captioned second appeal in terms of its earlier order dated **23.09.2016** passed in the appellant's earlier second appeal based on appellant's same RTI application dated **17.03.2016**. Thus, the appellant's instant second appeal is hereby disposed of in terms of Hon. CIC's earlier order dated **23.09.2016** passed in **file no. CIC/KY/A/2016/901214**.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The Asst. Director (LAB) H & PIO  
DDA, Lease Admn Branch, Vikas Sadan, INA,  
New Delhi- 110023

Smt. Sangeeta Lambah  
141-B, DDA MIG (RED) Flat Rajori Garden  
New Delhi- 110027