

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001286

Appellant : Shri Balai Lal Sinha
169, Laonayak Apartments
Sec-9, Plot No. 30, Rohini
New Delhi-110085

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Corporate Affairs, 5th Floor, A-Wing,
Shastri Bhawan, Dr. R P Road, New Delhi-01

Date of Hearing : 04.10.2016
Date of Decision : 04.10.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Anil Kumar, Under Secretary & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **07.01.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **6 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **01.02.2016**, **04.02.2016**, reportedly provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **03.03.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **28.04.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **20.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **07.01.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **6 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **01.02.2016 & 04.02.2016**, provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on

03.03.2016 before the FAA, who vide his order dated **07.01.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **07.01.2016**, respondent's response dated **01.02.2016 & 04.02.2016**, FAA's order dated **28.04.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
4. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o. Corporate Affairs, 5th Floor, A-Wing,
Shastri Bhawan, Dr. R P Road, New Delhi-01

Shri Balai Lal Sinha
169, Laonayak Apartments
Sec-9, Plot No. 30, Rohini
New Delhi-110085