

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001273

Appellant : Shri V. K. Badyal  
A-42, Shayam Enclave, St. No. 2  
Deenpur, Nagafgarh, New Delhi-110043

Public Authority : The Manager/CC/Central RTI Cell & CPIO  
DMRC, Metro Bhawan, Fire Brigade Lane  
Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 30.09.2016

Date of Decision : 30.09.2016

## Presence:

Appellant : Absent  
CPIO : Ms. Nirupama Musahari, Supervisor

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **02.05.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **20.07.2016**, reportedly provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **12.07.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **27.07.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **21.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

## HEARING

**Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **26.08.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **sole issue**. Respondents, vide their response dated **20.07.2016**, provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **12.07.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **27.07.2016**, disposed of the FA by upholding the views of CPIO.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **26.08.2015**, respondent's response dated **20.07.2016**, FAA's order dated **27.07.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
4. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The Manager/CC/Central RTI Cell & CPIO  
DMRC, Metro Bhawan, Fire Brigade Lane  
Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001

Shri V. K. Badyal

A-42, Shayam Enclave, St. No. 2

Deenpur, Nagafgarh, New Delhi-110043