

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001268

Appellant : Shri Pratap Singh
Court Campus, Bahroad
Dist-Alwar, Rajasthan

Public Authority : The CPIO
National Commission for Scheduled Castes,
2nd Floor, Malvankar Haveli, VasantChowk,
Lal Darwaja, Ahmedabad-380001
The CPIO
Nation Commission For Scheduled Castes,5th Floor,
LokNayakBhawan, Khan Market,New Delhi-110003

Date of Hearing : 28.09.2016
Date of Decision : 28.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Raj Kumar, Director & FAA

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **05.05.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **10.06.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **09.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **05.05.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **sole issue**. However, respondents **failed to furnish** any response to the appellant. Being aggrieved with the aforesaid PIO's **inaction**,

appellant filed his FA on **10.06.2016** before FAA, who **could not take up** the same for its disposal **for the reasons best known to him**. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issue** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **05.05.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. The Commission is of the considered view that the appellant has been **deprived** by the respondents **deliberately** from having the benefits of the RTI Act 2005, **even after lapse of more than four months period**. Thus, the respondents **have defeated the very purpose** of the RTI Act 2005 for which it was legislated by Parliament of India. As such, the Commission feels that it would be appropriate and even justified to allow the appellant's **second appeal in toto instead of remanding back to learned FAA for disposal of FA which is even more time consuming**. Therefore, it is allowed in toto.
4. In view of the above, the respondents are hereby directed to provide the **complete & categorical** information, **issue-wise**, to the appellant as per his RTI application, **in accordance with the provisions of RTI Act 2005**, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order under intimation to this Commission. **If need be, Section 5(4) of the RTI Act 2005 may also be invoked in the matter**.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
National Commission for Scheduled Castes,
2nd Floor, Malvankar Haveli, VasantChowk,
LalDarwaja, Ahmedabad-380001

The CPIO
Nation Commission For Scheduled Castes,
5th Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi-110003

Shri Pratap Singh
Court Campus, Bahroad
Dist-Alwar, Rajasthan