

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001267

Appellant : Shri Arvind M. Kapoor
12, Manhar Oak, L.D. Ruparel Marg
Malabar Hills, Mumbai-400006

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Commerce & Industry, Dept. of Commerce,
Dte. Gen. of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties, 4th Floor,
Jeevan Tara Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 22.09.2016
Date of Decision : 22.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri Darpan Bhuyan, Learned Advocate
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **29.01.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **7 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **11.02.2016**, **31.03.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **22.02.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **15.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Shri Darpan Bhuyan, Learned Advocate, on behalf of Appellant appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length. **Respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.**

DECISION

At the outset, it is to be seen here that the captioned Second Appeal is based upon appellant's earlier RTI application dated **29.01.2016**. It is further to be seen here that this Hon. Commission has already taken up the appellant's earlier Second Appeal based on appellant's RTI application dated **29.01.2016** dealt in file no. **CIC/KY/A/2016/000980** on **29.07.2016** and passed it's speaking order on the same date.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by Shri Darpan Bhuyan, Learned Advocate, at length. The Commission also perused the nature of the issues as contained in appellant's present RTI application dated **29.01.2016** and appellant's earlier RTI application of same date and finds that both RTI applications are same. Not only this, the reply provided by the respondents, vide their same responses i.e. dated **11.02.2016**, **31.03.2016** are also same.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of case, the Commission feels that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to dispose of the appellant's captioned second appeal in terms of its earlier order dated **29.07.2016** passed in the appellant's earlier second appeal based on appellant's same RTI application dated **29.01.2016**. Thus, the appellant's instant second appeal is hereby disposed of in terms of Hon. CIC's earlier order dated **29.07.2016** passed in **file no. CIC/KY/A/2016/000980**.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

M/o. Commerce & Industry, Dept. of Commerce,
Dte. Gen. of Anti-Dumping & Allied Duties, 4th Floor,
Jeevan Tara Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001

Shri Arvind M. Kapoor

12, Manhar Oak, L.D. Ruparel Marg

Malabar Hills, Mumbai-400006