

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001263

Appellant : Shri R. K. Gupta  
House No. 1868, Sec-7C, Chandigarh

Public Authority : The CPIO  
CPWD, O/o. Executive Engineer (Elect.),  
Chandigarh Central Elect. Division, KendriyaSadan,  
Sec-9, Chandigarh

Date of Hearing : 29.09.2016

Date of Decision : 29.09.2016

## Presence:

Appellant : Shri R. K. Gupta  
CPIO : Shri Rishi Pal Singh, AE (E) & APIO

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **08.04.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **10 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **21.06.2016**, **27.04.2016**, **11.07.2016**, has provided the part information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **11.05.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **13.06.2016**, directed CPIO to provide the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **26.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

## HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **08.04.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **10 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **21.06.2016** & **27.04.2016**, allegedly asked the appellant to deposit the requisite fee of `3320/- for getting the required information, running into 1660 pages, from the respondents against issue no.1. However, provided some required information against remaining issues to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **11.05.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **13.06.2016**,

disposed of the FA by directing CPIO to provide the information to the Appellant after receiving the requisite fee.

2. Further, in compliance of FAA's order, appellant has deposited the requisite fee of ₹ 3320/- on 22.06.2016. Furthermore, respondents vide their subsequent response dated 11.07.2016, provided the required information, running into 1531 pages, to the appellant on his RTI application dated 08.04.2016.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **08.04.2016**, respondent's response dated **21.06.2016**, **27.04.2016**, **11.07.2016**, FAA's order dated **13.06.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

CPWD, O/o. Executive Engineer (Elect.),

Chandigarh Central Elect. Division, Kendriya Sadan,

Sec-9, Chandigarh

Shri R. K. Gupta

House No. 1868, Sec-7C, Chandigarh