

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001259

Appellant : Shri V. B. Khanna
Office cum Resi. # 2063
Sector-19-C, Chandigarh, 160019 (UT)

Public Authority : The Addl. Superintending Engineer cum CPIO
O/o. the Chief Engineer, Room No. 118
1st Floor, Sec-9/D, UT Secretariat, Chandigarh

Date of Hearing : 30.09.2016
Date of Decision : 30.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Subhash Chand Saini, Addl. S. E.

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **21.01.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **8 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **01.02.2016**, **12.02.2016**, denied to provided the information u/s 8 (1) (j).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **15.03.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **07.04.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **08.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

At the outset, it is to be seen here that the captioned Second Appeal is based upon appellant's earlier RTI application dated **21.01.2016**. It is further to be seen here that this Hon. Commission has already taken up the appellant's earlier Second Appeal based on appellant's RTI application dated **21.01.2016** dealt in file no. **CIC/KY/A/2016/001258** on **29.09.2016** and passed it's speaking order on the same date.

2. The Commission has perused the nature of the issues as contained in appellant's present RTI application dated **21.01.2016** and appellant's earlier RTI application of same

date and finds that both RTI applications are same. Not only this, the reply provided by the respondents, vide their same responses i.e. dated **01.02.2016**, **12.02.2016** and orders passed by the learned FAA on **07.04.2016** in both FA filed by the appellant, are also same.

3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of case, the Commission feels that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to dispose of the appellant's captioned second appeal in terms of its earlier order dated **29.09.2016** passed in the appellant's earlier second appeal based on appellant's same RTI application dated **21.01.2016**. Thus, the appellant's instant second appeal is hereby disposed of in terms of Hon. CIC's earlier order dated **29.09.2016** passed in **file no. CIC/KY/A/2016/001258**.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The Addl. Superintending Engineer cum CPIO

O/o. the Chief Engineer, Room No. 118

1st Floor, Sec-9/D, UT Secretariat, Chandigarh

Shri V. B. Khanna

Office cum Resi. # 2063

Sector-19-C, Chandigarh, 160019 (UT)