

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001252

Appellant : Dr. Naresh Kumar
Pr. Scientist, CSIR
NISTDS, K.S. Krishnan Marg
Pusa Gate, New Delhi-110012

Public Authority : The CPIO
Ministry of Science and Technology
Council & Scientific & Industrial Research,
2 Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 22.09.2016
Date of Decision : 22.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **26.04.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **2 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **13.07.2016**, **19.07.2016** has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **31.05.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its Order dated **08.07.2016**, directed CPIO to provide the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **05.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **26.04.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **2 issues**. However, respondents **failed to furnish** any response to the appellant. Being aggrieved with the aforesaid PIO's **inaction**, FA was filed by the appellant on **31.05.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated

08.07.2016, directed the CPIO to provide the required information to the appellant. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that incompliance of FAA's order dated 08.07.2016, the CPIO, vide his subsequent response dated **13.07.2016**, provided the required information to the appellant on his RTI application dated 26.04.2016.
3. The Commissioner **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **26.04.2016**, respondent's response dated **13.07.2016**, FAA's order dated **08.07.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
Ministry of Science and Technology
Council & Scientific & Industrial Research,
2 Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001

Dr. Naresh Kumar
Pr. Scientist, CSIR
NISTDS, K.S. Krishnan Marg
Pusa Gate, New Delhi-110012