

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001248

Appellant : Mr. Amit Kumar
S/o. Late Sh. Chandragupt Gupta
KailashganjChowk, Eta-207001
Uttar Pradesh

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o Consumer Affairs, Food Corporation of India
District Office : 572, Ramgaht Road
Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh-202001

Date of Hearing : 27.09.2016
Date of Decision : 27.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Shiva Srivastava, Manager

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **26.04.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **6 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **02.06.2016 & 07.06.2016**, has provided the part information to the Appellant on point no 4 and 5.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **26.05.2016, 22.06.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **21.07.2016 & 27.06.2016**, directed CPIO to provide the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **25.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **26.04.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **6 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **02.06.2016**, **transferred** the appellant's RTI application to the concerned Public Authority for taking necessary action. Further, another CPIO vide his response dated

07.06.2016, provided the part required information to the appellant.. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **26.05.2016**, **22.06.2016** before the FAA, who vide his orders dated **21.07.2016 & 27.06.2016**, directed the CPIO to provide the required information to the appellant.

2. It is to be seen here that incompliance of FAA's order dated 27.06.2016, the CPIO, vide his subsequent response dated **12.09.2016**, **provided** the required information to the appellant on his RTI Application dated 26.04.2016.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **26.04.2016**, respondent's response dated **02.06.2016, 07.06.2016 & 12.09.2016**, FAA's orders dated **21.07.2016 & 27.06.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
5. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

M/o Consumer Affairs, Food Corporation of India

District Office : 572, Ramgaht Road

Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh-202001

Mr. Amit Kumar

S/o. Late Sh. Chandragupt Gupta

KailashganjChowk, Eta-207001

Uttar Pradesh