

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001247

Appellant : Shri Shalendra Kumar Shukla  
109H/6G, Vishnapuri Colony  
Lane No. 8, PonghtPul, P.O.  
Bamrauli, Allahabad-211002, Uttar Pradesh

Public Authority : The AGM (Pers.) & ACPIO  
M/o Consumer Affairs  
Food Corporation of India, Zonal Office,  
A-3-2A-2B, Sec-24, Noida, Uttar Pradesh-201301

Date of Hearing : 27.09.2016  
Date of Decision : 27.09.2016

## Presence:

Appellant : Shri Shalendra Kumar Shukla  
CPIO : Shri Kausik Nayak, DGM (P) & CPIO

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **08.03.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **20.05.2016**, reportedly not provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **11.06.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **25.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

## HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **08.03.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **3 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **20.05.2016**, allegedly **transferred** the appellant's RTI application to the concerned Public Authority for taking necessary action. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid **inaction**, FA was filed by the appellant on **11.06.2016** before the FAA, who **could not take up** the same

for its disposal **for the reasons best known to him**. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. During hearing of the appeal, it is submitted by Shri Kausik Nayak, DGM (P) & CPIO, that the required information is ready with him and he is willing to provide the information to the appellant.
3. At this, in the interest of work and to keep the very object of RTI Act 2005, under the directions of Hon. Commissioner copy of required information, i.e. **29.04.2016, 26.09.2016**, email dated **23.09.2016** sent by agency in response to respondents letter dated **20.05.2016**, were handed over to Appellant, and he has received the same accordingly and also appended his signature on the office copy thereof and failed to show any sort of objection thereon. Further, **it is submitted by Appellant that he is fully satisfy with the reply.**
4. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **08.03.2016**, respondent's response dated **20.05.2016, 29.04.2016, 26.09.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
5. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The AGM (Pers.) & ACPIO  
M/o Consumer Affairs  
Food Corporation of India, Zonal Office,  
A-3-2A-2B, Sec-24, Noida, Uttar Pradesh-201301

Shri Shalendra Kumar Shukla  
109H/6G, Vishnapuri Colony  
Lane No. 8, PonghtPul, P.O.  
Bamrauli, Allahabad-211002, Uttar Pradesh