

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001246

Appellant : Dr. Himal Chand
H. No. 3064, Sec-20D
Chandigarh-160020

Public Authority : The CPIO
Centre for Research in Rural & Industrial Development,
Sec-19A, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh-160019

Date of Hearing : 27.09.2016
Date of Decision : 27.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **16.05.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **6 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **14.06.2016**, has provided the part information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **17.06.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **12.07.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **27.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **16.05.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **6 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **14.06.2016**, allegedly provided the part required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **17.06.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **12.07.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. On careful perusal of the nature of issues as raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **16.05.2016** and respondent's response dated **14.06.2016**, it is revealed to the Commission that the respondents have provided the required information against **issue no. 3 only**. However, respondents have provided the **vague & incorrect** information, against remaining **issues i.e. issues no. 1, 2, 4, 5 & 6**, to the appellant.
3. The Commissioner **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **16.05.2016**, respondent's response dated **14.06.2016**, FAA's order dated **12.07.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. The Commission is of the considered view that the appellant has been **deprived** by the respondents **deliberately** from having the benefits of the RTI Act 2005, **even after lapse of more than four months period**. Thus, the respondents **have defeated the very purpose** of the RTI Act 2005 for which it was legislated by Parliament of India. As such, the Commission feels that appellant's second appeal deserves to be **allowed partly** i.e. against **issues no. 1, 2, 4, 5 & 6**. Therefore, it is **allowed accordingly**.
5. In view of the above, the respondents are hereby directed to provide the **complete & categorical** information, against **issues no. 1, 2, 4, 5 & 6**, to the appellant as per his RTI application, **in accordance with the provisions of RTI Act 2005**, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order under intimation to this Commission. **If need be, Section 5(4) of the RTI Act 2005 may also be invoked in the matter.**

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

Centre for Research in Rural & Industrial Development,

Sec-19A, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh-160019

Dr. Himel Chand

H. No. 3064, Sec-20D

Chandigarh-160020