

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001239

Appellant : Shri Ankur Sharma
2127, Gali No. 1(1), Opp. Moti Masjid
Islam Nagar, Ghazibad-201001, Uttar Pradesh

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Corporate Affairs, O/o. the ROC
37/17, Westcott Building, The Mall, Kanpur-208001, Uttar Pradesh

Date of Hearing : 22.09.2016
Date of Decision : 22.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **10.03.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **29.04.2016 & 20.06.2016**, reportedly provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **04.06.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **14.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **10.03.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **4 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **29.04.2016 & 20.06.2016**, allegedly provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **04.06.2016** before the FAA, who **could not take up** the same for its disposal **for the reasons best known to him**. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of**

issues raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **10.03.2016**, respondent's response dated **29.04.2016 & 20.06.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.

3. By virtue of **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that it is a fit case to be **remanded back** to learned FAA with a direction to dispose of the Appellant's FA filed on **04.06.2016**, **in accordance with the provisions of RTI Act 2005**, and after giving an opportunity to the appellant of being heard, **within 30 days** from the date of receipt of this order under intimation to the Commission. As such, the case is **remanded back**.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o Corporate Affairs, O/o the ROC
37/17, Westcott Building,
The Mall, Kanpur-208001, Uttar Pradesh

Shri Ankur Sharma
2127, Gali No. 1(1), Opp. Moti Masjid
Islam Nagar, Ghazibad-201001, Uttar Pradesh