

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001238

Appellant : Shri S.K Sethi
H.No- 8 Road no 6, GF(R/P)
East Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi

Public Authority : The CPIO
CSIR, Central Road Research Institute
Delhi- Mathura Road, Delhi110025

Date of Hearing : 05.10.2016

Date of Decision : 05.10.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri S.K. Sethi
CPIO : Shri J. B. Sengupta, Sr. Principal Scientist & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **NIL**, the Appellant sought information on **10 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **06.05.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **13.05.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **07.06.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **NIL**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **NIL**, sought information from the respondents on **10 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **06.05.2016**, allegedly provided the some required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **13.05.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **07.06.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. On careful perusal of the nature of issues as raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **NIL** and respondent's response dated **06.05.2016**, in the light of FAA's order dated **07.06.2016**, it is revealed to the Commission that the respondents have provided the required information against **issues no. 7 to 10**. However, respondents have provided the **vague & incorrect** information, against **issues no. 1 to 6**, to the appellant.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **NIL**, respondent's response dated **06.05.2016**, FAA's order dated **07.06.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. The Commission is of the considered view that the appellant has been **deprived** by the respondents **deliberately** from having the benefits of the RTI Act 2005, **even after lapse of more than five months period**. Thus, the respondents **have defeated the very purpose** of the RTI Act 2005 for which it was legislated by Parliament of India. As such, the Commission feels that appellant's second appeal deserves to be **allowed partly** i.e. against **issues no. 1 to 6**. Therefore, it is **allowed accordingly**.
5. In view of the above, the respondents are hereby directed to provide the **complete & categorical** information, **against issues no. 1 to 6**, to the appellant as per his RTI application, **in accordance with the provisions of RTI Act 2005**, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order under intimation to this Commission. **If need be, Section 5(4) of the RTI Act 2005 may also be invoked in the matter.**

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

CSIR, Central Road Research Institute

Delhi- Mathura Road, Delhi110025

Shri S.K Sethi

H.No- 8 Road no 6, GF(R/P)

East Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi