

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001221

Appellant : Shri Ram Chander
S/o. Shri Muneshwar Ram
House No. 88, Sec-8A, UT Chandigarh

Public Authority : The CPIO
Estate office, Estate Office Building,
Sec-17, UT Chandigarh

Date of Hearing : 22.09.2016

Date of Decision : 22.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri Ritesh Khatri, Learned Advocate
CPIO : Shri Sushil Kumar, Sr. Assistant

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **01.09.2015**, the Appellant sought information on **2 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **13.03.2016**, has provided the part information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **07.10.2015**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **03.05.2016**, held that information provided to the Appellant on **12.10.2015**.
- V. CIC vide its order dated **07.03.2015**, remand back to First Appellate Authority
- VI. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **20.06.2015**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Shri Ritesh Khatri, Learned Advocate, on behalf of Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

At the outset, it is stated here that Hon. CIC vide its earlier order dated **07.03.2016**, passed by this Bench in file No. **CIC/KY/A/2015/001281**, disposed of the second appeal by directing the learned FAA to dispose of the appellant's FA dated 07.10.2015.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that in compliance of Hon. CIC's earlier order dated **07.03.2016**, Learned FAA, vide his order dated **03.05.2016**, disposed of the appellant's First Appeal (FA) dated **07.10.2015** by observing as under:

“Sh Parbhat Gupta, Jr. Assistant representative of CPIO has stated that information/documents has been supplied to the appellant vide office memo No. 12706/JA(Pbt)/2015 dated 12.10.2015.”

3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by Shri Ritesh Khatri, Learned Advocate, on behalf of appellant as well as respondent at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **01.09.2015**, FAA's order dated **03.05.2016**, CIC's earlier order dated **07.03.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
Estate office, Estate Office Building,
Sec-17, UT Chandigarh

Shri Ram Chander
S/o. Shri Muneshwar Ram
House No. 88, Sec-8A, UT Chandigarh