

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001220

Appellant : Shri Madanjit Kumar
B-73/G-2, Dilshad Colony
Delhi-110095

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Science & Technology,
Central Electronics Limited,4 Industrial Area
Sahibabad-201010, Uttar Pradesh

Date of Hearing : 22.09.2016
Date of Decision : 22.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri Madanjit Kumar
CPIO : Shri S K Acharya, CS & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **25.04.2016**, (as per Second Appeal) the Appellant sought information on **5 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **21.05.2016**, **15.07.2016**, has provide the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **23.05.2016**, (as per Second Appeal) as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **23.05.2016**, directed CPIO to provide the information on point no 5.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **24.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **25.04.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **5 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **21.05.2016**, allegedly denied the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **23.05.2016** before

the FAA, who vide his order dated **23.05.2016**, directed the CPIO to provide the required information against issue no. 5 to the appellant.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that incompliance of FAA's order dated **23.06.2016**, the CPIO, vide his subsequent response dated **15.07.2016**, has provided the required information, running into 2 pages, to the appellant.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **25.04.2016**, respondent's response dated **21.05.2016 & 15.07.2016**, FAA's order dated **23.05.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o. Science & Technology,
Central Electronics Limited, 4 Industrial Area
Sahibabad-201010, Uttar Pradesh

Shri Madanjit Kumar
B-73/G-2, Dilshad Colony
Delhi-110095