

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001216

Appellant : Mr. Jyoti Prasad Sen Gupta
E.K.T.P. Phase-I, Flat No. A-1/4
Payamanti Co-operative Hsg. Scy. Ltd.
E.M.B.P. Kolkata-700107

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o Science and Technology
CSIR-North East Institute of Science
& Technology, Jorhat-785006, Assam

Date of Hearing : 23.09.2016

Date of Decision : 23.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **02.12.2015**, the Appellant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **22.12.2015**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **06.04.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its Order dated **31.08.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **17.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **02.12.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **4 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **22.12.2015**, provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **06.04.2016** before

the FAA, who vide his order dated **31.08.2016**, disposed of the FA by upholding the views of CPIO.

2. The Commissioner **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **02.12.2015**, respondent's response dated **22.12.2015**, FAA's order dated **31.08.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

M/o Science and Technology

CSIR-North East Institute of Science

& Technology, Jorhat-785006, Assam

Mr. Jyoti Prasad Sen Gupta

E.K.T.P. Phase-I, Flat No. A-1/4

Payamanti Co-operative Hsg. Scy. Ltd.

E.M.B.P. Kolkata-700107