

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar)

Central Information Commissioner

CIC/SA/A/2016/001209

Ghanshyam v. PIO, Delhi University

Important Dates and time taken:

RTI: 30.10.2015	Reply: 01.12.2015	FAO: 15.02.2016
SA: 19.04.2016	Hearing: 08.09.2016	Decided on: 08.09.2016
Result: Closed.		

Parties Present:

1. Appellant: Present.

Public authority: Mr. Rajender Singh, EE and Mr. Amit Varshny, JE.

FACTS:

2. Appellant had sought for information regarding the post of i) Junior Engineer ii) Electricians iii) Senior Electrician iv) Work Assistants. PIO replied on 01.12.2015. Appellant filed First Appeal. FAA directed the University Engineer, JR (establishment) and DR to look into the OA vis-a-vis the First Appeal and provide the additional available information. Appellant approached the Commission.

Decision:

3. 1st point was answered. Cross examination question cannot be answered under RTI. PIO worked hard for 3 days and prepared a detailed response to all the 49 points. Appellant who is also an advocate, says that some of his questions are relevant, which should have been answered. Except one or two points regarding the statistics, all other points are questions in the form of cross-examination demanding an inference from PIO which is not permissible

under RTI. More than sufficient information was furnished. Hence, appeal is rejected.

Sd/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,
Dy. Registrar, University of Delhi,
GoI, Delhi-110007.
2. Shri Ghanshyam,
1543, Janta Flats, GTB Enclave,
Nand Nagri, Delhi-110093.