

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/001209/SB

Dated 05.09.2016

Appellant : Shri Pravesh Kumar,
90/3, Vidya Nagar, Shastri Nagar,
Meerut, U.P.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110001.

Date of Hearing : 05.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 16.09.2013

CPIO's reply : 17.10.2013

First appeal filed on : 20.11.2013

FAA's order : 02.01.2014

Second appeal filed on : 17.04.2014

ORDER

1. Shri Pravesh Kumar filed an application dated 16.09.2013 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Information Commission (CIC) seeking information on

five points pertaining to the progress of his complaint dated 03.09.2012 against the Food Corporation of India (FCI).

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 17.04.2014 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) both are unable to trace the original papers of the complaint.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Pravesh Kumar was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri V.K. Sharma, Dy. Registrar to IC(SB) was not present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that the complaint dated 03.09.2012 of the appellant was not traceable and therefore, the appellant was requested to resend the said complaint to the Commission vide letter dated 17.10.2013. The respondent further submitted that the FAA also vide his order dated 02.01.2014 directed the appellant to file the said complaint again so that necessary action may be taken. However, the same was not filed by the appellant and therefore, information could not be provided to him.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records, observes that there are no grounds to interfere with the order of the FAA. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer