

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001192

Appellant : Shri Sunil Kumar Verma
House No. 13/340, Indria Nagar
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226016

Public Authority : The AGM (Vig.) ACPIO
M/o Consumer Affairs
Food Corporation of India, Zonal Office (N),
A-2A-2B, Sec-24, Noida, Uttar Pradesh-201301
The CPIO
FCI, Regional Office : TC-3V, VibhutiKhand,
Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226010

Date of Hearing : 22.09.2016
Date of Decision : 22.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri Sunil Kumar Verma
CPIO : Shri Hemendra Pratap Singh, Area manger & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **23.02.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide its responses dated **24.12.2015**, **02.03.2016**, **09.03.2016**, **28/29.03.2016**, **05.04.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **07.03.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **28.03.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **11.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **23.02.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **sole issue**. Respondents, vide their responses dated **24.12.2015, 02.03.2016, 09.03.2016, 28/29.03.2016, 05.04.2016**, allegedly provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **07.03.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **28.03.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that the CPIO, vide his responses dated **24.12.2015, 02.03.2016, 09.03.2016, 28/29.03.2016, transferred** the appellant's RTI application to the concerned Public Authority for taking necessary action. Further, another CPIO vide his response dated **05.04.2016, provided** the required information to the appellant on his RTI application dated 23.02.2016.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **23.02.2016**, respondent's responses dated **24.12.2015, 02.03.2016, 09.03.2016, 28/29.03.2016, 05.04.2016**, FAA's order dated **28.03.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The AGM (Vig.) ACPIO

M/o Consumer Affairs

Food Corporation of India, Zonal Office (N),

A-2A-2B, Sec-24, Noida, Uttar Pradesh-201301

The CPIO

FCI, Regional Office : TC-3V, VibhutiKhand,
Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226010

Shri Sunil Kumar Verma

House No. 13/340, Indria Nagar
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226016

