

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001187

Appellant : Shri Mandeep Singh
S/o. Shri Balwinder Singh
Street No. 1, Defence Colony, Grewal Chowk
Malerkotla, Dist.-Sangrur, Punjab-148023

Public Authority : The CPIO
ICAI, ICAI Bhawan, Indraprastha Marg
Post Box No. 7100, New Delhi-110002

Date of Hearing : 21.09.2016

Date of Decision : 21.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Dinesh Kumar Mishra, Asst. Secretary & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **29.02.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **06.04.2016**, reportedly provided the required information to the appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **16.04.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **16.05.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **14.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **29.02.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **3 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **06.04.2016**, provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **16.04.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **16.05.2016**, disposed of the FA by upholding the views of CPIO.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **29.02.2016**, respondent's response dated **06.04.2016**, FAA's order dated **16.05.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
4. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
ICAI, ICAI Bhawan, Indraprastha Marg
Post Box No. 7100, New Delhi-110002

Shri Mandeep Singh
S/o. Shri Balwinder Singh
Street No. 1, Defence Colony, Grewal Chowk
Malerkotla, Dist.-Sangrur, Punjab-148023