

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001159

Appellant : Shri Raj Pal
Flat No. 104, 1st Floor, Gh-3,
Paschim Vihar, New Delhi

Public Authority : The Dy. FA(H)-I & PIO
DDA, C-3, 3rd Floor, Vikas Sadan, INA
New Delhi-110023

Date of Hearing : 21.09.2016

Date of Decision : 21.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri Raj Pal
PIO : Shri Ashok Kr. Gupta, Dy. Financial Advisor (H)-I/PIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **30.10.2015**, the Appellant sought information on **11 issues**.
- II. PIO, vide its response dated **23.11.2015**, **12.03.2016**, **17.06.2016**, **22.03.2016**, **23.11.2016**, has provided the part information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **13.04.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide his order dated **30.06.2016**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **13.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **30.10.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **11 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **23.11.2015**, **12.03.2016**, **17.06.2016**, **22.03.2016**, **23.11.2016**, provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **13.04.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **30.06.2016**, disposed of the FA by upholding the views of CPIO.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **30.10.2015**, respondent's response dated **23.11.2015**, **12.03.2016**, **17.06.2016**, **22.03.2016**, **23.11.2016**, FAA's order dated **30.06.2016**, other material made available on record including respondent's written submissions dated 20.09.2016 and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
4. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The Dy. FA(H)-I & PIO

DDA, C-3, 3rd Floor, Vikas Sadan, INA

New Delhi-110023

Shri Raj Pal

Flat No. 104, 1st Floor, Gh-3,

Paschim Vihar, New Delhi