

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001157

Appellant : Shri Kamal Kishore Mahajan
Flat No. 302, Block No. C1A
DDA New Multi Sotrey HIG Flats
Sec-18B, Dwarka, New Delhi-110078

Public Authority : The P.I.O/Executive Engineer
DDA, O/o. the PIO/Exe. Engineer (Plg.),
Civil Circle-13, Manglapuri New Delhi-110045
The E. Engineer (WD-) & CPIO
DDA, O/o. the Ex. Engineer/WD-8
Central Nursery, Sec-5, Dwarka
New Delhi

Date of Hearing : 21.09.2016
Date of Decision : 21.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri B S Kukreja, Executive Engineer and
Shri P K Bhaskaer, Executive Engineer (P) & PIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **16.03.2016**, the Appellant sought information on **7 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **11.04.2016, 06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, reportedly not provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **26.04.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide his order dated **06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, disposed of the FA.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **01.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **16.03.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **7 issues**. Respondents, vide their response dated **11.04.2016, 06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, allegedly provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **26.04.2016** before the FAA, who vide his orders dated **06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, **partly** upheld the views of CPIO and partly provided the required information to the appellant. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. On careful perusal of the nature of issues as raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **16.03.2016** and respondent's response dated **11.04.2016, 06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, in the light of FAA's orders dated **06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016** it is revealed to the Commission that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **16.03.2016**, respondent's response dated **11.04.2016, 06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, FAA's order dated **06.07.2016 & 29.06.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
5. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The P.I.O/Executive Engineer

DDA, O/o. the PIO/Exe. Engineer (Plg.),

Civil Circle-13, Manglapuri New Delhi-110045

The E. Engineer (WD-) & CPIO
DDA, O/o. the Ex. Engineer/WD-8
Central Nursery, Sec-5, Dwarka
New Delhi

Shri Kamal Kishore Mahajan
Flat No. 302, Block No. C1A
DDA New Multi Sotrey HIG Flats
Sec-18B, Dwarka, New Delhi-110078

