

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001155

Appellant : Shri Ramesh Gera
95, Engineers Enclave, Pitampura
Delhi-110034

Public Authority : The Sr. R. O. (RTI)
DDA, Vikas Sadan, INA
New Delhi-110023

Date of Hearing : 21.09.2016

Date of Decision : 21.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
PIO : Absent

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **16.12.2015**, the Appellant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. PIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **09.03.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **27.07.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent opted to be absent despite of our due notice to them.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **16.12.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **3 issues**. However, respondents **failed to furnish** any response to the appellant. Being aggrieved with the aforesaid PIO's **inaction**, appellant filed his FA on **09.03.2016** before FAA, who **could not take up** the same for its disposal **for the reasons best known to him**. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.

2. The Commissioner **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **16.12.2015**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. The Commission is of the considered view that the appellant has been **deprived** by the respondents **deliberately** from having the benefits of the RTI Act 2005, **even after lapse of more than nine months period**. Thus, the respondents **have defeated the very purpose** of the RTI Act 2005 for which it was legislated by the August Parliament of India. As such, the Commission feels that it would be appropriate and even justified to allow the appellant's **second appeal in toto instead of remanding back to learned FAA for disposal of FA which is even more time consuming**. Therefore, it is allowed in toto.
4. In view of the above, the respondents are hereby directed to provide the **complete & categorical** information, **issue-wise**, to the appellant as per his RTI application, **in accordance with the provisions of RTI Act 2005** (if not already provided), within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order under intimation to this Commission. **If need be, Section 5(4) of the RTI Act 2005 may also be invoked in the matter.**

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The Sr. R. O. (RTI)
DDA, Vikas Sadan, INA
New Delhi-110023

Shri Ramesh Gera
95, Engineers Enclave, Pitampura
Delhi-110034