

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/001150**

Appellant: Arun Kumar Gupta  
A-64 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, DDA, Shed Okhla Industrial Area  
Phase-2 New Delhi 110020

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer-103 &DPM  
M/o Rly, Rly Board, RTI Cell, Rail Bhawan, Raisina  
Road, New Delhi-110001.

Date of Hearing: 15.12.2016  
Dated of Decision: 15.12.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 30.06.2014 seeking information regarding reservation railway policy for collection of 200% of the single fare as one berth is used by 2 RAC passengers. Further, the RAC passengers who do not use the berth due to its status being RAC, have a tough time target of 3 hours for claiming refund.
2. The CPIO responded on 03.09.2014. The appellant filed first appeal on 10.09.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 09.10.2014. The appellant filed appeal on 23.04.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The respondent participated in the hearing. The appellant did not participate in the hearing.
4. The respondent stated that the appellant has been replied to vide letter dated 03.09.2014 stating that normally charts are prepared well in advance of the departure of the train and status of ticket whether

RAC/WL/Confirmed are finalised. The passengers who are on RAC and hence are not willing to travel on RAC status can file TDR upto three hours after the actual departure of the train. The respondent stated that copy of relevant Rules has been provided to the appellant.

5. The respondent stated that the first appellate authority vide its order dated 09.10.2014 had disposed of the first appeal having concurred with the findings of the CPIO.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar