

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26186535**

**Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/001137**

Appellant: Mrs. Sunita Mishra,  
2286/1 Vivek Nagar,  
Sultanpur-228001,  
U.P.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer  
DRM,  
Northern Rly,  
RTI Cell,  
DRM Office,  
Lucknow, UP.

Date of Hearing: 28.12.2016

Dated of Decision: 28.12.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 21.10.2014 seeking information regarding rules under which Mr. Ashok Kumar Mishra has not been appointed to the post of Guard even though he has taken training for the post of Guard on 23.11.2002, name and designation of responsible officer who delayed the appointment etc.

2. The CPIO response is not on record. The appellant filed first appeal on 18.12.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA response is not on record. The appellant filed appeal on 24.03.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. Appellant's representative and respondent participated in the hearing through video conferencing.

4. Appellant's representative stated that Shri Ashok Kumar Mishra has taken training for the post of Guard in the year 2002, but till date he has not been appointed to the post of Guard.

5. Respondent stated that Shri Ashok Kumar Mishra was eligible to be promoted and posted as guard. For such departmental promotion, eligible employees have to first complete training course for guard. Thereafter, a Screening Committee makes selection. As per records with them, the Screening Committee did not recommend the name of Shri Ashok Kumar Mishra in 2002. Of course, since Shri Ashok Kumar Mishra was eligible to be considered, he could have been considered by several Screening Committees after 2002.

6. Appellant's representative stated that form-16 in respect of Shri Ashok Mishra for the year 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14 has not been provided to the appellant.

7. The respondent stated that they will provide the available form-16 of Shri Ashok Kumar to the appellant.

**Discussion/ observation:**

8. Respondent should provide to the appellant a copy of panel for selection of Guard made during the year 2002, within 15 days.

9. Respondent should provide the available form-16 of Shri Ashok Kumar to the appellant within 15 days.

**Decision:**

10. The respondent is directed to take action as per paras 8 and 9.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(S.C. Sharma)**  
**Dy. Registrar**