

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/001110**

Appellant: Mr. Raghavanand Yadav, S/o. Sh. Shyam Raj Yadav  
R/o. Tendua, PO-Belsara, Teh-Rasra, PS-Gadwar,  
Janpad- Ballia-277121, UP.

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer Registrar, High  
Court Allahabad, UP.

Date of Hearing: 17.10.2016

Dated of Decision: 17.10.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 13.10.2015 seeking information on 11 points with regard to status and action taken in the case petition no. 15068/2009 dated 25.06.2009 pending in the Allahabad High Court etc.

2. The CPIO responded on 03.12.2015. The appellant filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA response is not on record. The appellant filed second appeal on 24.02.2016 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant participated in the hearing. The respondent did not participate in the hearing.

4. The appellant stated that a case petition no. 15068/2009, Achchhelal & Ors Vs Government of Uttar Pradesh is before the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court. The appellant stated that no notice of hearing has been received by him in the aforesaid case and the Court passed has passed an order against

him. The appellant stated that in view of this, he had sought for information on 11 points.

5. The appellant stated that application with regard to point no. 7 and 8 was transferred to Ministry of Law & Justice. The appellant stated that he has been informed by the Ministry of Law & Justice that the information sought is not covered under the definition of 'Information' as provided in the section 2(f) of the RTI Act and is, therefore not available with the Hon'ble High Court. The appellant stated that the sought for information should be available in the material form.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. From the perusal of the reply of the CPIO, it appears that as per Rule 26 of the Allahabad High Court (Right to Information) Rules, 2006, the information relating to judicial matters may be obtained as per procedure prescribed in the Allahabad High Court Rules, 1952 and General Rules (Civil/Criminal) respectively.

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

8. Respondent is directed to show cause, why action should not be taken against the respondent for trying to deny information by not attending the hearing, within 30 days of this order.

9. No action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar

