

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001098

Appellant : Shri Prakash Keswani
Asstt. Engineer (Civil)
B2B/237, Janakpuri
Near ChotiSubziMandi, New Delhi-110058

Public Authority : The PIO to SE (Vig.) II/ Ex. Engineer (Vig.) II/CDC
DDA, O/o. the E. E. (Vig.)-II, Room No. 512,
5th Floor, B-Block, VikasSadan, INA, New Delhi-110023

Date of Hearing : 05.09.2016
Date of Decision : 05.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Shri Prakash Keswani
PIO : Shri S K Arora, EE (C) & PIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **20.04.2016**, the appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. PIO, vide its response dated **04.05.2016**, has provided the information to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **05.05.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **03.06.2016**, upheld the decision of PIO.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **22.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant as well as respondent appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **20.04.2016**, sought information from the respondents on **sole issue**. Respondents, vide their response dated **04.05.2016**, provided the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **05.05.2016** before

the FAA, who vide his order dated **03.06.2016**, disposed of the FA by upholding the views of CPIO.

2. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issue** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **20.04.2016**, respondent's response dated **04.05.2016**, FAA's order dated **03.06.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
3. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The PIO to SE (Vig.) II/ Ex. Engineer (Vig.) II/CDC
DDA, O/o. the E. E. (Vig.)-II, Room No. 512,
5th Floor, B-Block, VikasSadan, INA, New Delhi-110023

Shri Prakash Keswani
Asstt. Engineer (Civil)
B2B/237, Janakpuri
Near ChotiSubziMandi, New Delhi-110058