

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/KY/A/2016/001080

Appellant : Shri Hriday Ram Mishra  
S/o. Shri U. N. Mishra  
18/136, Indira Nagar,  
Near Ritesh Sharma Park, Lucknow-226016

Public Authority : The AGM (Admn.) & ACPIO  
M/o Consumer Affairs, FCI, Regional Office Bays No. 34-38,  
Sector-31-A, Chandigarh-160047  
The CPIO  
Food Corporation of India (HQ)  
16-20 Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 05.09.2016  
Date of Decision : 05.09.2016

## Presence:

Appellant : Shri Hriday Ram Mishra, **Heard Telephonically**  
CPIO : Shri Narendra Kumar Meena, AGM (G) & ACPIO and  
Shri Rajeev Sharma, Learned Advocate

## FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **29.12.2015**, the appellant sought information on **sole issue**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **10.02.2016**, denied to provided the information u/s 2 (f).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **22.02.2016**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **11.06.2016**, are contained in the Memorandum of Second Appeal.

## HEARING

Appellant was heard telephonically (Phone No. 09415524258). Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **29.12.2015**, sought information from the respondents on **sole issue**. Respondents, vide their response

dated **10.02.2016**, allegedly denied the required information to the appellant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the appellant on **22.02.2016** before the FAA, who vide his order dated **22.03.2016**, disposed of the FA by providing the required information to the appellant.

2. On careful perusal of the nature of issue as raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **29.12.2015** and FAA's order dated **22.03.2016**, it is revealed to the Commission that the respondents have provided the required information, running into 53 pages, to the appellant.
3. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by appellant as well as respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issue** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **29.12.2015**, respondent's response dated **10.02.2016**, FAA's order dated **22.03.2016**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
4. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The AGM (Admn.) & ACPIO  
M/o Consumer Affairs,  
FCI, Regional Office Bays No. 34-38,  
Sector-31-A, Chandigarh-160047

The CPIO  
Food Corporation of India (HQ)  
16-20 Barakhamba Lane, New Delhi-110001

Shri Hriday Ram Mishra  
S/o. Shri U. N. Mishra  
18/136, Indira Nagar,  
Near Ritesh Sharma Park, Lucknow-226016