

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/001007/SB

Dated 26.09.2016

Appellant : Shri M. Sivaraj,
No.12, Arumugam St.,
Vasanthapuram, Vellore,
Tamil Nadu-632 001.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110 066.

Date of Hearing : 26.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 26.10.2013

CPIO's reply : 28.11.2013

First appeal filed on : 04.12.2013

FAA's order : 24.12.2013

Second appeal filed on : 05.03.2014

ORDER

1. Shri M. Sivaraj filed an application dated 26.10.2013 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Information Commission (CIC) seeking information on three points pertaining to the records of the entire proceedings of the video conferencing held on 21.10.2013 relating to his case, including (i) copy of the

vedio CD, (ii) copy of the short hand or long hand script in which the dictation given and (iii) copy of entire file with note file, in which the decision has been passed/drafted.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 05.03.2014 before the Commission on the grounds that he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the CPIO as well as the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri M. Sivaraj was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri A.K. Sharma, CPIO, CIC was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that the information sought was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 28.11.2013. the respondent further submitted that the FAA vide his order dated 24.12.2013 has upheld the reply furnished by the CPIO. In view of this, no further information remains to be provided to the appellant.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the respondent and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer