

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Club building, Opposite Ber Sarai Market, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi- 110067.**

**Tel: 011 - 26182593/26182594**

**Email: registrycab@gmail.com**

**File No : CIC/CC/A/2014/000910**

**In the matter of:**

Dr. Swati Srivastava  
Flat No. 8, Kanchanchanga Apartments,  
Plot No. 90, I.P. Extension,  
New Delhi-110092

...Appellant

Vs.

Central Public information Officer  
M/o Defence, DRDO,  
RTI Cell, 314-A, B-Block, DRDO Bhawan,  
New Delhi-110011

..Respondent

**Dates**

RTI application	:	17.05.2014
CPIO reply	:	21.05.2014
First Appeal	:	05.06.2014
FAA Order	:	03.07.2014
Second Appeal	:	08.09.2014
Date of hearing	:	01.09.2016

**Information sought:**

The appellant had sought copy of advertisement no. 66 published in Employment News for the week of 27.03.2004, copy of her application form, copy of the interview letter sent to her, name of the officers who scrutinised eligibility at the time of interview, notings by the selection board, names of all the officers who were involved in the process of recruitment for all the vacancies, copy of all related note sheets on which approvals were given by the competent authorities including the offer of appointment letter, copy of Annual performance report from 2005 till the date of RTI application, basis of her promotion from Sc 'B' to Sc 'C' in the year 2009 by the assessment committee, name and qualification details including degree, year of passing, specialization and subject of all candidates who were called for interview including the appellant who were selected for each post as per the advertisement.

## **Grounds for Second Appeal**

The CPIO did not provide the desired information.

### **Order**

**Appellant** : **Absent**  
**Respondent** : **CPIO, Shri R.B. Sharma, Scientist 'G'**

During the hearing, the respondent CPIO submitted that complete reply was provided vide their letter dated 21.05.2014 in connection with the RTI application dated 17.05.2014.

The appellant was not present to challenge the contention of the respondent PIO.

On perusal of the case record, it is seen that the reply was to the point and complete. Hence, no further action is required in this case.

*The matter is closed.*

**[Amitava Bhattacharyya]**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(A.K.Talapatra)  
Dy. Registrar