

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/SB/A/2016/000903

Appellant: Mr. Ajay Kumar Agrawal
R/o 2-B-21/2, Awasthi Vikash Colony,
Daulat Pur, Pandeypur,
Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh-221002

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
CBI, Central Bureau Of Investigation,
Head Of Branch/DIG & CPIO,
Special Task Force, CBI Head Qtr.,
5th Floor, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,
New Delhi- 110003.

Date of Hearing: 25.07.2017

Dated of Decision: 25.07.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 04.05.2016 seeking information regarding irregularities made by CBI officials/officers during the investigation of NRHM scam viz. car, driver, diesel, cook, accommodation etc. availed by them in Varanasi Camp office, Bungalow No.-2; G.D. book, movement book etc.; expenditure such as food, travel etc.; name of such officers along with their designations etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 05.04.2016. The appellant filed First Appeal dated 12.04.2016 with First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 26.04.2016. The appellant filed second appeal on 27.05.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant did not participate in the hearing. The respondent was personally present in the hearing.

4. The respondent stated that CBI has been put at Serial No. 23 of 2nd Schedule r/w Section 24 of the RTI Act, 2005 and as such the RTI Act is not applicable to this organization except in the case of corruption and human rights violations. Further, he stated the complaint of the appellant alleging corruption and human rights violations had been thoroughly enquired and was found baseless. Therefore, his complaint was closed with the approval of the competent authority. In this regard, they have given a reply to the appellant on 08.02.2017.

Discussion/ observation:

5. The action/steps taken by the respondent in giving information in response to the RTI application is satisfactory.

Decision:

6. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar