

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000802
Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000803

Appellant: Parag Arora,
S/o Late Shri Daulat Ram,
RZ-210, Vaishali,
New Delhi-110045.
(9899108956)

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Sr. Supdt., Deptt. of Posts,
O/o Sr. Supdt. of Post offices,
New Delhi, West Division,
Naraina, New Delhi-110028.

Date of Hearing: 25.04.2017

Dated of Decision: 25.04.2017

ORDER

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000802

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 12.06.2015 seeking information about redemption of RD Account no. 74728 on 4 points viz. (i) Who encashed the RD account no. 74728 in the name of baby Nandini Arora, under guardianship of Simpy Arora, (ii) Date of encashment, (iii) Mode of payment, and (iv) Cheque nos.

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000803

2. The appellant filed RTI application dated 12.06.2015 seeking information about redemption of Kisan Vikas Patra Nos. 21831, 21754, 21956 and 21370-2 on 4 points viz. (i) Who encashed the KVPs in the name of Simpy Arora, (ii) Date of encashment, (iii) Mode of payment, and (iv) Cheque nos.

3. The CPIO responded on 06.07.2015. The appellant filed first appeal dated 27.07.2015. The FAA responded on 01.09.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 25.02.2016 before the Commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

4. The appellant did not participate in the hearing. The respondent participated in the hearing in person. The respondent agreed to hearing of both these cases together, as the subject matter is similar in nature.

5. The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant is a third party information u/Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. They had sought the consent of the third party (Simpay Arora) but she has declined to part with the sought for information. Therefore, they are unable to provide the sought for information.

Discussion/ observation:

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI applications is satisfactory.

Decision:

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeals are disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar