

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

(Room No.315, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110 066)

Phone: 011- 26181927 | Fax: 011- 26185088

Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar)

Central Information Commissioner

CIC/BS/A/2016/000007

Puranchand v. PIO, O/o Medical Supdt. ESI Hospital

RTI	:	04.06.2015
FAO	:	Nil
Second Appeal	:	23.12.2015
Hearing	:	08.03.2017
Appellant	:	Present
Public authority	:	Dr. Nupur Gupta and Mr. Vashwa Nand, Assistant present
Decided on	:	20.03.2017

FINAL ORDER

FACTS:

1. The appellant sought copy of treatment file No. 34714 of late Mrs. Premlata, (daughter of the appellant) who died on 1.1.2015 during treatment at ISI Hospital. He wanted to know details of all medical tests and medical treatment given to her. PIO gave information as received from Medical Record Department. He informed that patient died of multiple organ failure and enclosed copy of patient admission form, complete details of her critical medical condition, investigation reports, death report/death summary etc. Post mortem report was not available as there was no post mortem because her relatives did not give consent for autopsy. The CPIO also invited appellant for personal hearing before the Medical Superintendent on 22.7.2015 and provided 127 pages of medical record to the appellant as directed by the Medical Superintendent on 25.8.2015.

Decision :

2. The appellant complained that the concerned doctor delayed the delivery process which might have caused death of child in the womb leading to

the death of his daughter. The appellant also stated that there was time available from morning 6:00 a.m. till the evening, but the doctors did not do anything. The doctors suddenly declared at 9:00 p.m. that she died of heart attack. The appellant says that in the hospital neither the authorities informed him the name of the doctor. When the appellant wanted to file a complaint, officers misled him to get the papers from the court. It appears from his contention that he was not even given basic details to facilitate him to file a complaint. He had no other way except to file an RTI application. Though the ESI hospital gave the number of documents, nowhere the name of the doctor has been mentioned. CPIO Mr. M.S. Dahiya is not present and has sent V.M. Dwivedi, dealing clerk.

3. Dr. Nupur explained the medical history and condition of the appellant's daughter saying that she suffered from Tuberculosis affecting the brain, that she was initially treated at Saket City Hospital and that the MRI reports reflected Granuloma disease.
4. The appellant has certain apprehensions about medical negligence possibly leading to his daughter's death. It is found that the public authority has provided entire medical record to the appellant, which appellant can show to any doctor and take his medical opinion. If the appellant prefers to file a representation, the Commission directs the Medical Superintendent of respondent authority to inform the appellant the action taken on the same, within 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation. The second appeal is hereby disposed of.

Sd/-

(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,
O/o Medical Superintendent,
ESI Hospital, Basaidarapur,
Ring Road, New Delhi-110015.

2. Shri Puranchand,
D-333, Krishna Park, Near Malik
Vidhya Bhawan, Dewali Road,
Khanpur, New Delhi-110080.