

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,  
New Delhi-110066

F. No. **CIC/KY/A/2016/000633/MCDND**

Date of Hearing : **15.11.2017**  
Date of Decision : **15.11.2017**  
Appellant/Complainant : **Shri Pratap Anam**  
Respondent : **PIO/ Deputy Assessor &  
Collector,  
North Delhi Municipal  
Corporation**

**Through:**  
Shri Rakesh Kumar, PIO

**Information Commissioner : Shri Yashovardhan Azad**

**Relevant facts emerging from appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 16.11.2015  
PIO replied on : 22.12.2015  
First Appeal filed on : 13.01.2016  
First Appellate Order on : -  
2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal/complaint received on : 13.04.2016

**Information sought and background of the case:**

Vide RTI application dated 16.11.2015, the appellant sought information related to the ownership details of property No.1271 and 1272, Bageechi Ram Chandra Pahar Ganj, New Delhi. The PIO vide reply dated 22.12.2015 furnished information as available on record. The PIO intimated that one Smt Ram Devi was depositing tax against the properties under consideration. However, the appellant being dissatisfied with the information furnished preferred first appeal whereupon the PIO furnished revised information as under:

*Assessment and Collection Dept./SP Zone is neither the land owning agency nor the property registering agency therefore point no 1 & 3 of the main RTI application of Sh. Pratap Anam pertains to Sub –Registrar, Asaf Ali Road. Hence, 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority has directed the PIO during the process of Ist Appeal hearing that Point No. 1 & 3 may be transferred to Sub registrar, Asaf Ali Road....*

*As per 'A' form No. 39990 available in Assessment File, Smt. Ram Dai & Sh. Siya Ram are the tax-payer of above said property.*

**Relevant facts emerging during hearing:**

Both the parties are present and heard. The limited grievance of the appellant is with respect to the answer furnished against query No.3 wherein he has sought to know the name of the owner of property. He states to have suggested three names, Giri Raj, Ghanshyam Das and Krishna Avtar and sought to know is either of them was recorded owner of the property. Per contra the PIO states that the property in question is an evacuee property and no record of ownership is maintained. Tax is collected on the basis of occupation of the property and no further record is available. Upon a query by the Commission as to why the appellant did not approach the Registrar of revenue records for seeking information, the appellant could not reply.

**Decision:**

After hearing parties and perusal of record, the Commission finds that information as available on record has been furnished to the appellant. No further action is called for in the present appeal.

The appeal is disposed of.

**(Yashovardhan Azad)**  
**Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy. Additional copies of orders shall be supplied against application and payment of the charges prescribed under the Act to the CPIO of this Commission.

**(R.P.Grover)**  
**Designated Officer**

Copy to:-