

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SH/C/2014/000631/KY

Complainant : Shri Suresh Kumar
C/o. Mamta Beauty Parlor
Surya Vihar Colony, Pinto Park
P.O. Jaxerua, Morah, Gwalior-474006

Public Authority : The CPIO
Ministry of Heavy Industries and Public Enterprises
Bharat Heavy Electrical Limited (BHEL)
BHEL House, Siri Fort, New Delhi-110049

Date of Hearing : 08.09.2016
Date of Decision : 08.09.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Ms. Shreysi Singh, Deputy Manager (Law)

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **08.09.2014**, the appellant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **08.10.2014**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **04.02.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

1. During hearing of the case, it is submitted by Ms. Shreysi Singh, Deputy Manager (Law), that the captioned Complaint is based upon complainant's earlier RTI application dated **08.09.2014**. It is further submitted by Ms. Shreysi Singh, that this Hon. Commission has already taken up the Complainant's earlier Complaint based on Complainant's RTI application dated **08.09.2014** dealt in file no. **CIC/SH/C/2014/000643/KY** on **29.08.2016** and dismissed the case on technical ground i.e. none-pressed.

2. The Commission has perused the nature of the issues as contained in appellant's present RTI application dated **08.09.2014** and appellant's earlier RTI application of same date and finds that both RTI applications are same.
3. Apart from above, it is pertinent to mention here that, today also, despite of our **due notice**, Complainant neither appeared **either in person** nor through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued strongly but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
4. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified to dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
Ministry of Heavy Industries and Public Enterprises
Bharat Heavy Electrical Limited (BHEL)
BHEL House, Siri Fort, New Delhi-110049

Shri Suresh Kumar
C/o. Mamta Beauty Parlor
Surya Vihar Colony, Pinto Park
P.O. Jaxerua, Morah, Gwalior-474006