

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. **CIC/RM/C/2014/000593/KY**

Complainant : Shri Harinder Dhingra
D4A/7, DLF Phase 01
Gurgaon-122002

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o. Commerce & Industry
Director General of Foreign Trade
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi-110011

Date of Hearing : 29.08.2016
Date of Decision : 29.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Ashish Mohan, Dy. DGFT

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **12.11.2012**, the appellant sought information on **A, B (i, ii), C (i-iii), D (i-iv), E, F (i-v) issues.**
- II. CPIO, response is not on record.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), is not on record.
- V. CIC Order dated **06.02.2014** directed CPIO to Allowed the inspection to the Appellant.
- VI. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **09.07.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

During hearing of the case, it is submitted by Shri Ashish Mohan, Dy. DGFT, that in compliance of Hon. CIC vide its earlier order dated 06.02.2014, dealt in file no.CIC/SS/A/2013/0001391/RM, the respondents vide their responses dated 07.03.2014 & 08.03.2014, requested the complainant to have the inspection of the relevant records and pin point the required documents of which the photocopies are needed. However, complainant could not turn up in this regard. Thus, it shows that complainant is not

interested in pursuing his case based on RTI application dated **12.11.2012**. Furthermore, on the basis of earlier case, present complaint was filed by the complainant before this Commission.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o. Commerce & Industry
Director General of Foreign Trade
Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi-110011

Shri Harinder Dhingra
D4A/7, DLF Phase 01
Gurgaon-122002