

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

Decision No. CIC/YA/C/2014/000575/SB

Dated 19.09.2016

Complainant : Shri B.S. Kaushik,
H. No. C-10,
Naya Bazar, Najafgarh,
New Delhi-110 043.

Respondent : Central Public Information Officer,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, B-Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110 066.

Date of Hearing : 19.09.2016

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI application filed on : 12.03.2014

CPIO's reply : 28.03.2014

First appeal filed on : 09.06.2014

FAA's Order : 10.07.2014

Second Appeal filed on : 20.10.2014

ORDER

1. Shri B.S. Kaushik filed an application dated 12.03.2014 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Central Information Commission (CIC) seeking information with regard to a pending matter of non-compliance of the Order No. CIC/SM/A/2011/002175 dated 05.12.2012 of the Commission wherein the

CPIO, Department of Personnel and Training (DoPT) had been directed to offer comments on his complaint within ten working days from the date of issue of the Order.

2. Shri B.S. Kaushik filed a complaint dated 20.10.2014 before the Commission on the grounds that Shri Vijay Bhalla, Dy.Registrar to Ex-CIC (SM) to whom his RTI application has been forwarded by the CPIO, RTI Cell did not provide him the information sought even after the First Appellate Authority directed him to furnish response to the appellant within 10 working days of receipt of his Order dated 10.07.2014. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the concerned public authorities by making an order, to take appropriate action against their concerned officers to ensure compliance off the Order made.

Hearing on 19.09.2016:

3. The complainant Shri B.S. Kaushik was represented by Shri Naveen Kaushik. The respondent Shri Vijay Bhalla, Dy. Registrar to IC(SH), CIC was present in person.

4. The complainant submitted that information sought by him has not been provided by the respondent. The complainant further submitted that the CPIO has not complied with the order of the FAA dated 10.07.2014, wherein, the CPIO was directed to furnish response to the appellant/complainant within 10 working days.

5. The respondent requested for some time in order to trace the records so that it can be ascertained as to whether any reply was given to the appellant/complainant.

Interim Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, accedes to the request of the respondent and adjourns the matter to **31.10.2016 at 01:15 pm.**

7. Copy of decision be given free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(V.K. Sharma)
Designated Officer