

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26186535

Appeal No. CIC/BS/A/2016/000545

Appellant: Arifa CN
Cheriyannallala House Kalpeni,
Island UT of Lakshadweep-682557,
(9446660137)

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer,
Supdt. Lakshadweep Information Technology,
Lakshadweep Information Technology Services
Society (LITSS), Kavaratti Island,
Lakshadweep-682555.

Date of Hearing: 25.07.2017

Dated of Decision: 25.07.2017

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 02.08.2015 seeking copies of (i) Educational qualification certificate, (ii) Experience certificate, and (iii) Additional qualification certificate submitted by the selected and waitlisted candidates (3 each) and six more candidates of the merit list for the post of Executive under Lakshadweep Information Technology Services Society (LITSS).
2. The CPIO responded on 05.10.2015. The appellant filed First Appeal dated 19.10.2015. The FAA responded on 16.11.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 18.02.2016 before the commission on the ground that information should be provided to him.

Hearing:

3. Both the parties participated in the hearing through video conferencing.
4. The appellant stated that the respondent should provide him the sought for information. He alleged corruption in the recruitment process of

Executive cadre in the LITSS. Further, she sought for disclosure of interview marks of the selected and waitlisted candidates.

5. The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant is a third party information u/Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, therefore they have denied the same. He further stated that the consent of the third party had been sought and it was refused to part with the information.

Discussion/ observation:

6. Educational qualification certificate, experience certificate, and additional qualification certificate submitted by the selected and waitlisted candidates are personal in nature. Therefore, the respondent has rightly denied the same. The action/steps taken by the respondent in giving information in response to the RTI application is satisfactory.

7. During the hearing, the appellant sought for the disclosure of interview marks of the selected and waitlisted candidates. However, it appears to be in the nature of additional information, therefore, cannot be furnished through this RTI application.

Decision:

8. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

9. The Director, LITSS is advised to look into the matter and take action against the official responsible, if any malafide in the recruitment process is involved.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar