

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. **CIC/VS/C/2013/000544/KY**

Complainant : Mr. Gurmit Ram Bains  
S/o. Shri Inder Ram  
Vill. NangalFida, PO. Salala Via  
Adampur Doaba, Distt. Jalandhar  
Punjab-144102

Public Authority : The CPIO  
M/o Consumer Affairs  
Food Corporation Of India, Zonal Office (N),  
A-2A-2B, Sec-24, Noida Uttar Pradesh

Date of Hearing : 20.09.2016

Date of Decision : 20.09.2016

## **Presence:**

Complainant : Absent  
CPIO : Shri Abhinav Anand, Assistant General Manager & CPIO

## **FACTS:**

- I. Vide RTI application dated **29.05.2013**, the Complainant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **20.09.2013**, allegedly provided the required information to the complainant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **03.07.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **23.09.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## **HEARING**

**Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## **DECISION**

It would be seen here that the complainant, vide his RTI Application dated **29.05.2013**, sought information from the respondents on three issues as contained therein. Respondents vide their response dated **20.09.2013**, provided the required information to

the complainant. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, FA was filed by the complainant on **03.07.2013** before the FAA, who could not take up the same for the reasons best known to him. Hence, complainant has filed his complaint dated **23.09.2013** before the Commission.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-  
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)  
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO  
Food Corporation Of India,  
(M/o Consumer Affairs)  
Zonal Office (N), A-2A-2B,  
Sec-24, Noida Uttar Pradesh

Mr. Gurmit Ram Bains  
S/o. Shri Inder Ram  
Vill. Nangal Fida, PO. Salala Via Adampur Doaba,  
Distt. Jalandhar, Punjab-144102