

# CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.  
Website: cic.gov.in

Files No. **CIC/SS/C/2013/000541/KY**

**CIC/SS/C/2013/000546/KY**

Complainant : Shri Praveen Sakhuja  
LD-119, SFS Flats, Pitampura  
Delhi-110034

Public Authority : The Assistant Director & CPIO  
Export Inspection Council of India  
(M/o. Commerce & Industry), 3rd Floor, NDYMCA Cultural Centre  
Building, 1 Jai Singh Road, New Delhi-110001

Date of Hearing : 29.08.2016

Date of Decision : 29.08.2016

## Presence:

Complainant : Absent  
CPIO : Shri Amit Sharma, Jt. Director & FAA and  
Shri Parmod Siwach, Asst Director & CPIO

## A) Facts of File No. CIC/SS/C/2013/000541/KY:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **07.02.2013**, the appellant sought information on **4 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **06.03.2013**, denied the information u/s 8 (1) (j).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **18.03.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **15.04.2013**, upheld the decision of CPIO.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **29.08.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## B) Facts of File No. CIC/SS/C/2013/000546/KY:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **13.03.2013**, the Complainant sought information on **A, B (1-3) issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **05.04.2013**, reportedly not provided the information to the Complainant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **08.04.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **27.05.2013**, directed EIC to revisit & reprocess the RTI Application within 3 weeks.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **17.10.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

## HEARING

**Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him.** Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

## DECISION

1. It is pertinent to mention here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant neither appeared **either in person** nor through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his cases. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaints before the Commission, after all, these are his cases to be pursued strongly but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own cases before the Commission.
2. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified to dismiss** the cases. Therefore, these are hereby **dismissed**.

The complaints are dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-  
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)  
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)  
Deputy Secretary

The Assistant Director & CPIO  
Export Inspection Council of India  
(M/o. Commerce & Industry),  
3rd Floor, NDYMCA Cultural Centre Building,  
1 Jai Singh Road, New Delhi-110001

Shri Praveen Sakhuja  
LD-119, SFS Flats, Pitampura  
Delhi-110034