

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/SS/C/2013/000540/KY

Complainant : Shri Praveen Sakhuja
LD-119, SFS Flats, Pitampura
Delhi-110034

Public Authority : The Dy. Director & CPIO
Export Inspection Agency-Delhi
M/o. Commerce & Industry, Thakkar BapaSmarakSadan,
2nd Floor, Dr. Ambedkar Marg, Link Road, New Delhi-110055

Date of Hearing : 29.08.2016
Date of Decision : 29.08.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Nitin Y. Meshram, Dy. Director & CPIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **08.03.2013**, the appellant sought information on **9 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **12.03.2013**, **25.03.2013**, **14.05.2013**, has provided the part information on point no 4 and 6 to the Appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **01.04.2013**, **20.05.2013**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), vide its order dated **15.04.2013**, **24.06.2013**, directed CPIO to revisit point no 4 & 6 and provide information to him.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **29.08.2013**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

1. It is pertinent to mention here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant neither appeared **either in person** nor through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued strongly but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite

of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.

2. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified to dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The Dy. Director & CPIO
Export Inspection Agency-Delhi
M/o. Commerce & Industry, Thakkar Bapa Smarak Sadan,
2nd Floor, Dr. Ambedkar Marg, Link Road, New Delhi-110055

Shri Praveen Sakhuja
LD-119, SFS Flats, Pitampura
Delhi-110034