

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066**  
**Tel : +91-11-26186535**

**Appeal No.**  
**CIC/RK/A/2016/000521**  
**CIC/RK/A/2016/000417**  
**CIC/RK/A/2016/000839**

Appellant: Mr. Parth Sarathi,  
R/o. H. No. 33, Apna Vihar,  
Kunjwani, Opp. Petrol Pump,  
Jammu-180010  
Also at:  
5/27, Purbapally, PO-Sodepur, Distt. North 24  
Parganas, Kolkata-700110  
Also at:  
7, Jasmine Apartment,  
Lokmanya Nagar, Palghar,  
Tal Palghar, Distt. Thane  
Maharashtra

Respondent: 1. Central Public Information Officer/Registrar Genl.  
High Court of Jammu & Kashmir,  
Janipur, Distt. Jammu-180007  
2. Central Public Information Officer  
C/o Hon. Court of Principal, District & Sessions  
Judge, Samba, J & K.

Date of Hearing: 21.10.2016  
Dated of Decision: 21.10.2016

**Adjunct Order**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 17.03.2015 seeking information regarding the certified copy of the Interim order dated 17.11.2014 passed by Chief Judicial Magistrate, Samba in case bearing No. 125/civil, titled as Parth Sarathi Vs. Retd. Col. Vijay Sharma.
2. The matter was earlier heard on 01.09.2016 and was adjourned for further hearing on 21.10.2016.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant participated in the hearing through VC. The respondent participated in the hearing personally.

4. The appellant stated that he has not been provided a certified copy of the interim order dated 17.11.2014. The appellant stated that he has been provided a copy of the routine order dated 17.11.2014 but not the interim order. The appellant referred to the letter dated 14.09.2015 of the High Court of Jammu and Kashmir at Srinagar and stated that it has mentioned that a copy of the interim order dated 17.11.2014 has been dispatched to him. The appellant stated that an interim order dated 17.11.2014 was there but the respondent are not providing him.

5. The respondent stated that the copy of the order dated 17.11.2014 has been provided to the appellant many a times. The respondent stated that the appellant never filed an application for stay and there was no stay order of the Court in the file. The respondent has produced the whole record of file before the Commission and also given a set of paper for supplying to the appellant.

**Discussion/ observation:**

6. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application are satisfactory. The available information has been provided to the appellant. However, a whole set of documents which has been given by the respondent, is attached with this order.

**Decision:**

7. No further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

The appeals are disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C.Sharma)

Dy. Registrar

Encl: whole set of documents