

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,**  
**Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110067**  
**Tel : +91-11-26717355**

**Appeal No. CIC/RK/A/2016/000504**

Appellant: Mr. Banarasi Ram  
R/o. J-3/129-A, Nr Anarwali Masjid, Laxmi Nagar,  
Delhi-110092, (9891416216).

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer/Addl. Registrar,  
Supreme Court of India, Tilak Marg, New Delhi.

Date of Hearing: 14.9.2016

Dated of Decision: 14.09.2016

**ORDER**

**Facts:**

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 29.07.2015 seeking information regarding action taken on his petition dated 07.05.2015 with regard to discriminatory attitude towards him in the promotion process etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 28.08.2015. The appellant filed first appeal on 18.09.2015 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 16.10.2015. The appellant filed second appeal on 08.01.2016 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing personally.
4. The appellant stated that his case was disposed off without giving him an opportunity of hearing. The appellant further stated that had his case been heard, it would have gone in his favour. The appellant stated that unqualified persons were promoted and prescribed rules were not followed

and they have been given past benefits. The appellant stated that they were promoted against the reserve category and he was deprived and he has not been given past benefits. The appellant stated that without any relaxation and concessions he was to be considered to get promotions to the post of SGM till the year 2000.

5. The respondent stated that the appellant was vide letter dated 28.08.2015 informed that his letter petition dated 07.05.2015 was lodged/filed vide orders dated 20.05.2015 of the Registrar being not covered under PIL Guidelines. Since, his letter petition has been filed; no further action will be taken on his letter petition.

6. The respondent stated that the first appellate authority vide its order dated 16.10.2015 had disposed of the first appeal having concurred with the findings of the CPIO.

**Discussion/ observation:**

7. The action/steps taken by the respondent in dealing with the RTI application is satisfactory.

**Decision:**

8. No action is required in the matter at the level of this Commission.

The appeal is disposed of. A copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

**(Radha Krishna Mathur)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner**

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)  
Dy. Registrar