

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/RM/C/2014/900493/KY

Appellant : Shri Pradeep Kumar Sethi
#1078, Old Sunny Enclave
Near Honey Dew Public School
Kharar, Mohali, Panjab-140301

Public Authority : The CPIO
M/o Textiles, National Textile Corporation Limited
Scope Complex, Core-IV, 7, Lodhi Road
New Delhi-110003

Date of Hearing : 08.09.2016
Date of Decision : 08.09.2016

Presence:

Appellant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Vinay Kumar, Assistant Manager & APIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **02.04.2014**, the appellant sought information on **11 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide his response dated **28.05.2014 & 09.07.2014**, allegedly provided the required information to the appellant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) was filed on **01.05.2014, 10.05.2014**, as desired information not provided.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Second Appeal filed on **12.05.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Appeal.

HEARING

Appellant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

At the outset, it is stated here that as per the contents of the prayer clause, as embedded in the instant petition, **it is a case of second appeal**. However, the Central Registry registered it **wrongly as a complaint for the reasons best known to them**. Thus, it is being dealt with as **second appeal** and not as complaint.

2. It would be seen here that the appellant, vide his RTI Application dated **02.04.2014**, sought information from the respondents on **11 issues**. Respondents, vide their responses dated **28.05.2014 & 09.07.2014**, allegedly provided the required information to the appellant. Further, FA was filed by the appellant on **01.05.2014 & 10.05.2014** before the FAA, who **could not take up** the same for its disposal **for the reasons best known to him**. Hence, a Second Appeal before this Commission.
3. On careful perusal of the nature of issues as raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **02.04.2014** and respondent's responses dated **28.05.2014 & 09.07.2014**, it is revealed to the Commission that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant.
4. The Commissioner heard the submissions made by respondents at length. The Commission also **perused** the case-file **thoroughly**; specifically, **nature of issues** raised by the appellant in his RTI application dated **02.04.2014**, respondent's responses dated **28.05.2014 & 09.07.2014**, other material made available on record and also the grounds of memorandum of second appeal.
5. In view of the **position** above and in the **circumstances** of the case, the Commission is of the considered view that the respondents have provided the required information to the appellant in terms of **Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005**. In view of this, the Commission feels that the appellant's second appeal becomes **redundant** in this regard. Thus, the appellant's second appeal deserves to be **dismissed**. Therefore, it is **dismissed**.
6. Apart from above, the appellant's second appeal is also a **non-pressed**, despite of our due notice to him.

The Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The CPIO
M/o Textiles,
National Textile Corporation Limited
Scope Complex, Core-IV, 7,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Shri Pradeep Kumar Sethi
#1078, Old Sunny Enclave
Near Honey Dew Public School
Kharar, Mohali, Panjab-140301