

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi -110066
Tel : +91-11-26717355

Appeal No. CIC/VS/A/2015/000487

Appellant: R Kandan,
39 5th Street, Kamraj Nagar
Avadi Chennai-600071

Respondent: Central Public Information Officer
Dy. CPO O/o CPO, Integral Coach Factory,
Chennai-600038, T. N.

Date of Hearing: 19.12.2016

Dated of Decision: 19.12.2016

ORDER

Facts:

1. The appellant filed RTI application dated 28.08.2014 seeking information on 16 points regarding number of trades for which cadre status has been given, details of the trades classified as cadre, number of posts vacant in each trade etc.
2. The CPIO responded on 08.09.2014, 26.09.2014. The appellant filed first appeal on 30.10.2014 with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The FAA responded on 15.11.2014. The appellant filed appeal on 18.02.2015 before the Commission with the plea that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant and the respondent both participated in the hearing.
4. The appellant stated that the information is available with the mechanical department but his application was deliberately transferred to the personnel department. The appellant stated that the sought for information can be easily given as it is in electronic format.. The appellant stated that he had joined the railways in the year 2009. The appellant stated that he requested for a change in his trade but neither his trade was changed

nor he was promoted. The appellant stated that he is seeking data so that he can approach the Court and place the facts effectively. The appellant stated that on change of cadre, the seniority list must be protected.

5. The respondents stated that the appellant has sought information on 16 points which involved 10 departments and which is highly voluminous. The respondent stated that the appellant has not sought specific information however, the available information has been provided to him. The respondent stated that appellant has a grievance and he can approach to the competent authority for its redressal. The respondent stated that the appellant has not given any representation regarding his grievances.

6. On pointwise discussions, the respondent stated that the information in respect of point no. 1,2,3,4,7, 8, and 9 has been provided to the appellant. The respondent, in respect of point no. 5, 6 (mechanical department related), point 10 and points 11 to13 (last three years record), 14 (explanation) agreed to provide information to the appellant. The respondent stated that the information in respect of points 15 and 16 is not readily available.

Discussion/ observation:

7. The remaining information as agreed above may be provided to the appellant.

Decision:

8. The respondent is directed to provide information as stated in para 6 above within 15 days of this order.

The appeal is disposed of. Copy of the order be given to the parties free of cost.

(Radha Krishna Mathur)
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.C. Sharma)
Dy. Registrar