

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/LS/C/2012/000486/KY

Complainant : Shri Sampat D. H.
Vaishnav Sadan, 3rd Floor, Flat No. 9
Sec-11, Road No. 12, Near ApnaGhar Shop
New Panvel-410206

Public Authority : The Executive Director & CPIO
M/o Textiles, Sasmira, The Synthetic & Art Silk Mills'
Research Association, Sasmira Marg, Worli, Mumbai-400030

Date of Hearing : 26.09.2016
Date of Decision : 26.09.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri P G Sanap Joint Director and Shri P R Surwase, Scientist-C

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **19.03.2012**, the Complainant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide its response dated **10.03.2011 & 03.04.2012**, denied to provided the information u/s 2 (h).
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **20.04.2012**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to him. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

It would be seen here that the complainant, vide his RTI Application dated **19.03.2012**, sought information from the respondents on 3 issues as contained therein. Respondents vide their response dated **10.03.2011 & 03.04.2012**, **denied** the required information to the complainant by taking plea that the issues raised by the appellant

dehors Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid response, complainant has filed his complaint dated **20.04.2012** before the Commission.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by him before the Commission to press his case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press his complaint before the Commission, after all, it is his case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that he is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing his own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.A. Khan Yusufi)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)
Deputy Secretary

The Executive Director & CPIO
M/o Textiles, Sasmira, The Synthetic & Art Silk Mills'
Research Association, Sasmira Marg, Worli, Mumbai-400030

Shri Sampat D. H.
VaishnavSadan, 3rd Floor, Flat No. 9
Sec-11, Road No. 12, Near ApnaGhar Shop
New Panvel-410206