

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066

**Decision No. CIC/SB/A/2015/000468**

**Dated 02.12.2016**

**Appellant** : Shri Shashank Agarwal,  
E-99, Bal Udyan Road,  
Uttam Nagar,  
New Delhi-110059.

**Respondent** : Central Public Information Officer,  
Staff Selection Commission.  
Block No.12, CGO Complex,  
Lodhi Road,  
New Delhi-110003.

**Date of Hearing** : 02.12.2016

**Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 21.05.2015

First appeal filed on : 10.07.2015

Second appeal filed on : 24.08.2015

**ORDER**

1. Shri Shashank Agarwal filed an application dated 21.05.2015 under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Staff Selection Commission (SSC) seeking information on four points relating to Combined Graduate Level Examination (CGLE), 2014, Tier-2, Paper I and II, including (i) marks scored by him in both papers, (ii) photo copy of the OMR Sheet in respect of both the papers and (iii) cut off in General Category.

---

2. The appellant filed a second appeal dated 24.08.2015 before the Commission on the grounds that the CPIO did not reply to his RTI application and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) too did not respond to his appeal.

**Hearing:**

3. The appellant Shri Shashank Agarwal was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Ashok Kumar Vijay, Under Secretary, SSC was present in person.

4. The respondent submitted that the information sought along with the requisite documents has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 19.06.2015. Thereafter, since a reminder from the appellant was received for non-receipt of the information, therefore, information was resent vide letter dated 21.07.2015. The respondent further submitted that since the issue of non-receipt of the information was raised by the appellant at the first appellate stage, the FAA vide his order dated 17.08.2015 directed the CPIO to resend the information. In compliance with the same, the information along with all the requisite documents was resent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.08.2015.

**Decision:**

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. However, the same could not be verified due to absence of the appellant during the hearing. The Commission, therefore, in the interest of justice, directs the respondent to once again send the information to the appellant by Speed Post, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

**(Sudhir Bhargava)**  
**Information Commissioner**

**Authenticated true copy**

**(V.K. Sharma)**  
**Designated Officer**