

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. – 308, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi – 110066.
Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/YA/C/2014/000467/KY

Complainant : Ms. Sonam Chatarival
S/o. Shri Shambhu Dayal Chatarival
R/o. 1674, Goushala Road, Hathras
Uttar Pradesh

Public Authority : The CPIO
Ministry of Science and Technology
National Institute of Science, Technology and Development Studies,
Pusa Gate, K.S.Krishnan Marg, New Delhi-110012

Date of Hearing : 07.09.2016
Date of Decision : 07.09.2016

Presence:

Complainant : Absent
CPIO : Shri Ramni Kapoor, Principal & PIO

FACTS:

- I. Vide RTI application dated **12.07.2014**, the Complainant sought information on **3 issues**.
- II. CPIO, vide his response dated **17.08.2016 & 29.08.2016**, allegedly provided the required information to the Complainant.
- III. The First Appeal (FA) is not on record.
- IV. First Appellate Authority (FAA), order is not on record.
- V. Grounds for the Complaint filed on **01.09.2014**, are contained in the Memorandum of Complaint.

HEARING

Complainant opted to be absent despite of our due notice to her. Respondents appeared before the Commission personally and made the submissions at length.

DECISION

During hearing of the case, it is submitted by Shri Ramni Kapoor, Principal & PIO, complainant's RTI application was not received in their department. For this, he has also submitted the documentary evidences. It is further submitted by him that he has received the complainant's RTI application dated 12.07.2014, along with Notice of Hearing dated 08.08.2016 issued by this Commission and transferred the same on 17.08.2016 to the Public Authority who is custodian of the documents/information. Further, the concerned

Public Authority, vide his latest response dated **29.08.2016**, provided the complete & categorical information to the complainant.

2. Apart from above, it is to be seen here that despite of our **due notice**, Complainant failed to appear **either in person** or through someone, duly authorized by her before the Commission to press her case. However, the Commission feels that complainant must have appeared, in such situations, to press her complaint before the Commission, after all, it is her case to be pursued specifically but complainant is absent **deliberately**, despite of our due notice. Thus, it shows the intention of the complainant that she is not interested, **at all**, in pursuing her own case before the Commission.
3. In view of the above, the Commission feels that **no fruitful purpose** would be served by proceeding **in such cases**. Thus, the Commission is of the considered view that it would be **appropriate and even justified** to **dismiss** the case. Therefore, it is hereby **dismissed**.

The complaint is dismissed accordingly.

Sd/-

(M.A. Khan Yusufi)

Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Krishan Avtar Talwar)

Deputy Secretary

The CPIO

Ministry of Science and Technology

National Institute of Science,

Technology and Development Studies,

Pusa Gate, K.S.Krishnan Marg, New Delhi-110012

Ms. Sonam Chatarival

S/o. Shri Shambhu Dayal Chatarival

R/o. 1674, Goushala Road, Hathras

Uttar Pradesh